Kudi Jenmom lands.

62 * **MR. C. JEBAMONY NADAR** (*Kalkulam* cum *Vilavankod*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether Jenmikaram Special Settlement Officers in Kalkulam and Vilavankod taluks took up jenmikaram settlement cases for lands coming under the tenure known as "Kudy Jenmom," registered as such in the names of Jenmies and fixed jenmikaram for the same under the provisions of the Jenmi and Kudiyan Amendment Act of 1108;

(*b*) whether Jenmies and Kudiyans agreed in writing to fix jenmikaram for these lands also;

(*c*) whether Jenmies collected jenmikaram in accordance with such decisions;

(*d*) whether these decisions were subsequently cancelled by the Division Peishkar, Trivandrum;

(*e*) the number of decisions so cancelled from both the taluks;

(*f*) whether the Jenmi Kudiyan Committee appointed by the Government by order J. 6998 dated 13th September 1915 have opined that "Kudy Jenmom" tenure must also be brought within the purview of the Jenmi Kudiyan Act;

(*g*) whether the decisions reported in 3. T. L. J. 377 and 16 T. L. J. 170 were considered by the Division Peishkar when he set aside the decisions;

(*h*) whether these lands stood in the names of Malayala Brahmin Jenmies and were in the possession of Kudiyans under Kanapattams during the settlement of 977, 1014 and 1082;

(*i*) whether memorials have been submitted to the Government praying for relief against the decision of the Division Peishkar; and

(*j*) what action has been taken by the Government on those memorials?

MR. M. RAMACHANDRA RAO: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) The information is not available.

(*d*) The decisions in respect of Kudijenmom (Pandaravaga Inam) lands were cancelled.

(*e*) 886.

(*f*) Yes; but that applies only to converted Jenmom lands, whereas the Kudijenmom lands the Jenmikaram decisions in respect of which were cancelled by the Division Peishkar, Trivandrum, are 'Pandaravaka Kudijenmon' lands which are of Inam tenure and not of Jenmom tenure.

(*g*) Yes.

(*h*) Malayala Brahmin Jenmies and other persons were treated as registered holders of Pandaravaka Kudijenmom (Inam) lands. The mere fact that Pandaravaka Kudijenmom lands had been demised on Kanam will not alter the tenure of the lands into Jenmom (Devaswom and Brahmaswam vaka). It cannot be definitely stated now whether the lands were all in the possession of Kudiyans during the previous settlement.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(*i*) Yes.

(*j*) The memorial has been recorded as the statement therein made are due to an erroneous notion of the petitioners that all lands recorded as Kudijenmom are Jenmom lands liable to pay Jenmikaram.

Sub-Registrar's Office at Pandalam.

63 * **MR. P. NARAYANA PILLAI** (*Mavelikara* cum *Kunnattur*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether they have received any representation from the public for the establishment of a Sub-Registrar's Office at Pandalam in the Mavelikara taluk; and

(*b*) the action taken on the same?

MR. K. SANKARAN: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) A sub Registry Office is proposed to be opened at Pandalam from the beginning of 1116, as a temporary measure. The necessary provision for the purpose has been included in the Budget for 1116.

Statement, by caste, of employees in the P. W. D.

64 * **MR. P. S. MUHAMED** (*Quilon* cum *Kottarakara*): Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table:

(*a*) a statement, by caste, of the number of Assistant Engineers, Sub-Engineers, Maistries, Clerks and peons in the P. W. D.; and

(*b*) a statement, by caste, of appointments made in the P. W. D. as Clerks, Maistries and peons for the period of the last 3 years?

MR. K. SANKARAN: Government consider that the time and labour involved in collecting the information is out of proportion to its possible utility.

Statement, by caste, of appointments in the Water Works, Alleppey.

65 * **MR. P. S. MUHAMED:** Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table:

(*a*) a statement, by caste, of appointments made in the Water Works at Alleppey during the period of last 3 years as clerks, maistries and peons; and

(*b*) a statement, showing the number of head coolies, by caste, employed in the Water Works for the last 3 years?

MR. K. SANKARAN: Government consider that the time and labour involved in collecting the above statistics are out of proportion to their possible utility.

Age-bar exemption.

66 * **MR. K. R. NARAYANAN** (*Vaikom* cum *Kottayam*): Will the Government be pleased to state whether the receipt of memo on application for appointment submitted to the Public Service Commissioner, will enable a person to be exempted from age-bar?

DR. H. PARAMESWARAN: No.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly